

April 30, 2008

Family Health Data and Practice Quality Work Group

Jamie Baker, Holly Compo, Carlton County; Sylvia Cook, MDH; Emily Robb, Emily Drager, Jill Timm, Washington County; Karen Lindberg, Dakota County; Cathy Gagne', Ramsey County; Mary Ellen Imdieke, Amy Lytton, Consultant; Karen Monsen, University Of Minnesota.

Video: A Career in Public Health Nursing – contact Jacqueline Merrill, Center for Health Policy, Columbia University School of Nursing if interested in a copy

1. Spokane County KBS Rating Guide examples:

Substance Use: behavior and status are difficult to separate

We have previously attempted to separate out various types of clients and various types of substances.

Washington County proposes that we go back to the beginning – match it to the Omaha System book.

Combine them to create one grid – pregnant or not.

Reflections of pregnancy status would be in a line in the behavior.

Page 31: Add 4th line under behavior – for initial rating - any substance use during pregnancy = 1, stopped using as soon as they found out they were pregnant = 5.

For a 1 in behavior for alcohol use, use the 1 in behavior definition from the Omaha System book. - yes

Discussion – some of us have a problem with all or none, 1 or 5. The status rating can reflect improvement – not all or none.

If you binge drink, is it an automatic 1, no matter what? Should this be a behavior consideration instead of a rating definition? - yes

What if it read something more like drinking causes mood changes? Some of these things are listed under considerations.

Washington County will send the final changes in both the grid and considerations pages to Karen Lindberg for incorporation into the official KBS rating guide supplement for family health.

Postpartum problem: Comments from Olmsted County. The ability to care for the baby was reflected in the status definitions in the guide. We need to reflect the mother's postpartum recovery – not caretaking/parenting abilities.

Change: For Status...Able to provide some self care and most care for baby (3) to able to perform some ADLs (most ADLs – 4, all ADLs – 5). Remove the word “infant” from 2. Remove everything related to baby or infant from the status section.

Karen Lindberg volunteered to make sure all changes will be incorporated into the booklet by the end of May. Thank you, Karen!

Data Sharing – Reports have been run by four counties! We reviewed the reports and plan to share our data by age group to make demographics more consistent in each sample. There is a problem with the significance calculation for status in the new CF report – Mary Ellen will check on getting it fixed.

Next meetings:

July 30 – biannual multi-staff interrater reliability day (see MOSUG minutes)

September 30

December 4

Plan meetings for 2009

Meetings will be held at the Forest Lake Service Center in Washington County.

The Family Health Data and Practice Quality Work Group will meet from 11 AM to 1 PM. (Bring your lunch!)